

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:  INTERVIEW SUMMARY  DATE MAILED:  D	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
N. ALLUNE   ART UNIT   PAPER NUMBER   1772   DATE MAILED:   INTERVIEW SUMMARY   DATE MAILED:	09/831,320	5/7/2001	GUNTER	
N. ALLUNE   ART UNIT   PAPER NUMBER   1772_	•			
DATE MAILED:	•		[	EXAMINER
INTERVIEW SUMMARY    DATE MAILED:				N. Aunal
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  NASSER AHMAN  (3)  EDWARD FRETIDMAN  (4)  to of Interview OI - 27 - 200 A  per   Telephonic   Televideo Conference Depensonal (copy is given to   applicant Deplicant's representative).  This shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes Dependent   Yes Deplicant   Deplicant's representative).  This shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes Dependent   Yes Deplicant   Deplicant's representative).  This shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes Dependent   Yes Deplicant   Deplicant   Deplicant's representative).  The personal (copy is given to   applicant   Deplicant's representative).  The personal demonstration conducted:   Yes Deplicant   Deplicant   Deplicant's representative).  The personal demonstration conducted:   Yes Deplicant   Deplicant			. [	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
INTERVIEW SUMMARY  participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  NASCER AHMAN (3)  EDWARD FREEDMAN (4)  of Interview Ol - 27 - 200 A  et   Telephonic   Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to   applicant & Papplicant's representative).  subit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes & No If yes, brief description:  eem nt   was reached. & was not reached.  in(s) discussed:   14 - 26  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  intification of prior art discussed:   DUNCAN , PENGINSK!  coription of the general nature of what was agreed to indicate to the applicant was reached, or any other comments: Applicant to propose a part of the substance of the substance of the interview.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  It is not necessary to applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  It is not necessary to applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  It is not necessary to applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.				1772
participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  NASCER AHMAN  (3)  EDWARD FRETOMAN  (4)  It of Interview OI - 27 - 2007  Be: Telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to personal telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal Co		INTER	•	ATE MAILED:
MASCER AHMAN   (3)	naricipanto (analicant analicant			
to of interview Ol - 27 - 200 A  to of interview Ol - 200 A			nel):	
to of interviewOI - 27 - 200 4  pe: Telephonic Televideo Conference & Personal (copy is given to paplicant & Papplicant's representative).  hibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes & No. If yes, brief description:  reem nt was reached. & was not reached.  him(s) discussed: IY - 200  nitification of prior art discussed: DUNCAN, PENGINEK!  scription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant waposed to amend in Applicant claum (s) to track that  Applicant fust and seend web are selected from the group consist papers, metal foil and non-work fusion and proposed fust fust fuller description, if Accessing, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable at the summary thereof must be cothed.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  less the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN DOW MONT METERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed.			(3)	
ne: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Papplicant's representative).  This is shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No It yes, brief description:  The man was reached. We was not reached.  The man is discussed: 14 - 20  This is discussed: 15 - 20  This is discussed: 16 - 20  This is discussed: 16 - 20  This is discussed: 17 - 20  This is discussed: 18 - 20  This is discussed: 19  This is discussed: 19  This is discussed: 19  This is discuss	_	4	(4)	
reem nt was reached. Awas not reached.  iim(s) discussed: 14 - 26  intification of prior art discussed: DUNCAN, PESGINSKI  scription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  is posed to amend independent claim(s) to reache that  the first and seemed web are selected from the group consist  paper, metal foil and non-woren fabric. This proposa  preus to distinguish from the applied prior art feeding furt  the description, if recessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st b attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ched.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION AND WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEPP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been fled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEPP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been fled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE ASTATEMENT OF THE	t of Interview 01-27	-2004	. <u>.                                   </u>	
eem nt was reached. Diwas not reached.  Im(s) discussed: 14 - 20  Intification of prior art discussed: DUNCAN, DESGINEKI  Incription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was exposed to amend if the penaltular claim (s) to recide that  In fust and second web are selected from the group consist paper, metal foil and non-woren fabric. This proposal preases to distinguish from the applied prior art pending further and second in attended. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is a to attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ched.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Ses the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION OT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office and has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office and has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office and has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office and has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It a reply to the last Office and has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). It are paragraphed to the present of the substance of the interview.	•			
ntification of prior art discussed: DUNCAN, DESCINEKI  scription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant  reposed to amend independent claim (s) to recide that  oth first and seemd web are selected from the gnoup consist  paper, metal foil and non-woven fabric. This proposa  prease to distriction from the applial prior and founding first  tries of responsibility. If necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable  st b attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be  ched.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Sees the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION  NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office  on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office  on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office	noo noisarsenomed to inwors ricuit	ducted: ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes	s, brief description:	
sty first and seemd web are selected from the group consist paper, metal foil and non-wiver fabric. This propose pears to distriction, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st b attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Less the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office ion has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE			<del>e</del> bginski	·
paper, metal foil and non-wiven fabric. This proposal pro	roposed to ame	and undepend	leut claim (s)	to recite that
pears to district from the applied prior ast feeding first rew of pedage for the	the first and	second wek	are selected of	how the quoup consists
Itulier description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable st b attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be ached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  The substance of	1 0: 4		1 1 1	nor art pending furth
ess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	fuller description, if necessary, an st b attached. Also, where no co iched.)	d a copy of the amendments, opy of the amendments which	if available, which the examiner ag would render the claims allowable	fere the search
ess the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office on has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	It is not necessary for applicar	nt to provide a separate record	of the substance of the interview.	
	ess the paragraph above has bee NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLU ion has are ready been filed, APP	en checked to indicate to the o	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RE	on 713 04) If a reply to the last Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, S ction 713.04 Substanc of Intervi w must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1:133 Interviews

- (b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)
- § 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a recerd is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

Note that the American property is

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior an discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
  Form completed by the examiner,
   5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or
- 5) a bnet identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

9/831 920

## IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 1-13 and replace them with new claims 14-26 as follows:

- --14. A process for the production of a multilayer composite material (1, 21) with a plastic layer (4, 24) that has release properties with respect to adhesives, where the materials producing the release properties are located within the plastic layer, wherein a first web (2, 22) is provided in production of the composite material (1, 21) on one side of which a layer of adhesive (3, 23) is located, after which the plastic layer (4, 24) with the release properties will follow, which is in turn bonded to a second web (5, 25).
- 15. Process according to claim 14, wherein a web of paper or a similar material is provided as the first web (2, 22).
- 16. Process according to claim 14, wherein metal foil is provided as the first web (2, 22).
- 17. Process according to claim 14, wherein a nonwoven fabric is provided as the first web (2, 22).
- 18. Process according to claim 14, wherein the layers are extruded onto the first web (2, 22) simultaneously by the coextrusion process.

- 19. Process according to claim 14, wherein a web of paper or a similar material is provid d as the second web (5, 25).
- 20. Process according to claim 19, wherein the layers including the first web (2, 22) are extruded onto the second web (5, 25).
- 21. Process according to claim 14, wherein at least the adhesive layer (3, 23) and the layer (4, 24) with the release properties are extruded between the two webs (2, 22 and 5, 25).
- 22. Process according to claim 14, wherein the first and/or second web (2, 22; 5, 25) are oriented if plastic is used for one or both of them.
- 23. Process according to claim 22, wherein a web (2, 22; 5, 25) which is pre-produced from plastic is oriented before it is used.
- 24. Multilayer composite material produced in accordance with the process as described in claim 14, wherein a first web (2, 22) and at least a second web (5, 25) are provided, between which a layer of adhesive (3, 23) and a further layer (2, 24) that has release properties with respect to the adhesive are located.

- 25. Multilayer composite material according to claim 24, wherein further layers (26) are provided that are located on the outside of the first web (2, 22) and/or the second web (5, 25).
- 26. Multilayer composite material according to claim 24, wherein the first and/or second web (2, 5, 22, 25) are made from an oriented plastic film.--

### **REMARKS**

By this Preliminary Amendment, the application has been amended to conform with U.S. practice, the cross-reference to related applications has been inserted on page 1 and claims 1-13 have been replaced by new claims 14-26. No new matter has been introduced. Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WALTER GÜNTER - 2 (PCT)

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576 (516) 365-9802 erf:jc Allison C. Collard, Reg.No. 22,532 Edward R. Freedman, Reg.No. 26,048 Attorneys for Applicants

Express Mail No. <u>EL 769 391 415 US</u>
Date of Deposit <u>May 7, 2001</u>

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10, on the date indicated above, and is addressed to the Ass't. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

J. Driffech.

Lisa L. Vulpis Mitteg dorf